



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/822,154	03/30/2001	Robert A. Immerman	IN0191US (#90067)	IN0191US (#90067) 6306	
28672	7590 07/07/2003				
D. PETER HOCHBERG CO. L.P.A.			EXAMINER		
	OTH STREET D, OH 44114		WOOD, KIMBERLY T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3632		
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>L</i>							
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Ad	ory Action	09/822,154	IMMERMAN, ROBER	RT A.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kimberly T. Wood	3632				
The MAILING DATE of	this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspond nce addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 18 June 200 Therefore, further action by the a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.1 condition for allowance; (2) a tim Examination (RCE) in compliance	applicant is required to a 13 may <u>only</u> be either: (ely filed Notice of Appe	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper repich places the applic	ly to a ation in			
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
event, however, will the statutor ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WH 706.07(f).	(1) the mailing date of this Ad y period for reply expire later the EN THE FIRST REPLY WAS	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of BFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. So	ee MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained unhave been filed is the date for purposes of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the (b) above, if checked. Any reply received bearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	determining the period of exter expiration date of the shortene by the Office later than three m	d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extention from the final Office action; or (ension fee under 2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. ☐ The proposed amendment	(s) will not be entered b	pecause:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to issues for appeal; and		in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or si	mplifying the			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuat	ion Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has over	come the following reje	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amend canceling the non-allowab	ed claim(s) would le claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	amendment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exapplication in condition fo	chibit, or c)☐ request for allowance because: _	or reconsideration has been con	sidered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will raised by the Examiner in		cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the explanation of how the ne	ne proposed amendmen w or amended claims w	nt(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or t vould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered a ow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s)	is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:	·						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and	<u>12-15</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from o	consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing corr	rection filed on is	s a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exam	iner.			
		ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. ☐ Other:			Mmberly T. Wood Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3632	North			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) • 09/822,154

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the limitations "horizontal wires" and "vertical rectangular openings" raise a new issue. "said rectangular opening forming means" presents a 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection of indefiniteness..